

Table 1. Augmentation request for the most critically needed additional work. The total augmentation request is for \$412,788 or 11% of the project.

| P.I. Team | Requested Change in Scope of Work | Funding Request | Comments/Rationale |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| Frontier Geosciences | QA/QC oversight w/DFG | \$71,330 | This work is project-wide and was deemed of most critical significance for funding by both the Science Review Committee and all Project PI's |
| | 5% external QA duplicate sample analyses | \$42,458 | |
| | SJSUF overhead for pass-thru | \$6,500 | |
| Larry Walker Associates | Phase 1 Modeling Work | \$38,000 | Must be able to assist PI's in developing their task-specific numeric models within six months |
| DFG | Signif. increased project management duties, including more frequent communications and meetings; longer duration project period, integration and standardization of data for interpretive reports, QAPP preparation, greatly increased QA/QC internal oversight/coparticipation, integrate all PI reports & produce interpretive integrated reports, logistics coord., mgmt of 16 subcontracts (much higher than original), database development and management, & additional funding for Sci Rev Comm work (55K) | \$199,500 | <p>Explanation of DFG Project Mgmt personnel increase:</p> <p>a) Increase Max Puckett from 0.5 to 1.0 PY Cost = \$51,000/yr x 3yrs = \$ 153,000 total increase</p> <p>b) Increase Mark Stephenson time from 0.3 to 0.45 PY Cost = \$15,500/yr x 3yrs = \$ 46,500 total increase</p> <p>c) Scientific Review Committee augment = \$55,000</p> <p>With augment the cost of project management will have been increased from 7 to 10% of total project costs</p> |
| | | \$55,000 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | \$412,788 | |